

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/732,788	12/11/2000	Masayuki Kondo	Q62242	2735	
75	590 04/02/2002	··· (- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TH	NGUYEN, THUKHANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722	5	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary	09/732,788	KONDO, MASAYUKI			
omo Aodon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722			
Peri d f r Reply	rears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m mom oonolaaration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Ex	raminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	ation No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Application/Control Number: 09/732,788

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 4A and 4B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (Fig. 4A & 4B) in view of Saito (5,620,711).

The admitted prior art discloses a resin-molding waterproofing apparatus comprising an upper mold (5) and lower mold (6), which has an inner space provided with vertical side faces (7) and a bottom face defines a lower part of the molding cavity.

The admitted prior art fails to disclose a dome-like ceiling of the upper mold.

Saito discloses a mold for forming a waterproof cable comprising an upper mold and a lower mold (col. 3, lines 8-16), wherein the molds having a dome-line shape formed with curvature (15, 17), so that a large contact area is not established between the wires and the inner wall surface and the packing material can be spread sufficiently, which improve the reliability of the waterproof structure (col.2, lines 37-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/732,788

Art Unit: 1722

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention

was made to modify the admitted prior art by reshaping the upper mold surface with a dome-like structure

as taught by Saito, because the dome-like structure would provide less contact surface between the wires

and the inner wall of the mold and would facilitate the removal of the product after being formed.

Conclusion

4. The Applicant is advised to provide a full disclosure of the admitted prior art (pages 2-3 and

Figures 4A – 4B of the present application) in response to this Office Action for a fully consideration.

The rejection, however, is subjected to change upon the submitting of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7167. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and on alternate Friday, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam

X. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-3322. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311

for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TN

March 25, 2002

NAM NOUTEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 3